

CONGRESSIONAL PROCEEDINGS.

The following are the Congressional proceedings of yesterday, continued from our Fourth Edition—

Senate.

WASHINGTON, JANUARY 21. The Tariff bill was then taken up and read. It makes 10 printed pages.

As the reading progressed, several amendments were made, most of them were adopted. Vermum was inserted in the first section, so as to include it among cordials, liquors and bitters, upon which a duty of \$2.50 per gallon shall be imposed.

In the paragraph on wines of all kinds, irrespective of value, cost of cask included, 40 cents per gallon, the words "irrespective of value, cost of cask included," were stricken out, and the words "imported in casks" were inserted.

The following was adopted:— On all wines imported in bottles, not otherwise herein provided for, \$2 per dozen bottles or more than a pint each, and \$3 per dozen on bottles of less than a pint.

An amendment was adopted repealing the act in 1790, allowing for drawbacks upon wines. The words "on pain of forfeiture" were added after the words "and no entry of any imported cigars shall be allowed of less quantity than 200 in a single case."

The duty on wax, beehive, and known as dressed line, was changed from \$20 to \$30 per ton. The words "in bulk" were inserted. The duty on adulterated wax, was changed from 50 cents per cwt. ad valorem to 75 cents per cwt. ad valorem.

A proviso was adopted to the section in relation to iron, that no iron, except railroad and scrap iron, shall pay a duty of less than 25 per cent. ad valorem.

The duty on nickel was changed from 15 per cent. ad valorem, as printed in the bill, to 10 cents per pound, on nickel, from 10 to 15 cents per pound. On cream of tartar, from 7 to 10 cents. On bromide of potassium, from 5 cents to \$1 per pound. On corrosive sublimate, cyanide of mercury, oxide of mercury, red oxide of mercury, red and white arsenic, and preparations of mercury not otherwise provided for, from 20 per cent. ad valorem to 15 cents per pound. Muriate of ammonia, 1 cent per pound. On muriate, 3 cents per pound. On oil of ergot, from \$5 to \$1 per pound.

A proviso was added to all medicinal compounds, of which distilled spirits are a component part, the duty on such distilled spirits shall be added to the manufactured drug. The duty on opium, from 20 to 25 cents per pound, from twenty per cent. ad valorem in the printed bill, to 35 per cent. On building or monumental stone, finished or unfinished, of any kind, ad valorem to 25 per cent. of thirteen cubic feet. On imported books and printed matter, from thirty cents per pound to thirty-five per cent. ad valorem. On barley from fifteen to ten cents per bushel.

The following were added to the free list of the bill:— Animals imported as specimens of natural history; regalia used for religious ceremonies. The reading of the bill was almost concluded, but three pages remained, when, on motion, the Senate adjourned.

House of Representatives.

Mr. MORRILL (Vt.) from the Committee of Ways and Means, asked leave to report a bill to provide for the sale of gold, for the purpose of putting it on the market.

Mr. WILSON (Iowa) objected. Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. MORRILL moved to suspend the rule for the purpose of enabling him to report the bill, and the bill was read and passed.

Mr. PHILIPS (Md.) raised the point of order that it was not competent for the Committee of Elections to inquire into the election of members of the new Congress.

The Speaker overruled the point of order. It was competent for the House of Representatives to inquire into anything it might deem proper to inquire into.

Mr. PHILIPS said there was no reason why he should interpose any objection to the fullest investigation of the subject, but he thought it was a matter for the next House, and not for this.

The Speaker remarked that that was a question for the House itself to determine.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) was proceeding to say that he had introduced the resolution at the instance of prominent Union men in Maryland, when Mr. WARD (N. Y.) moved to suspend the rules, that he might offer the resolution.

The House suspended the rules, 108 yeas, 37— and the resolution came before the House for action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) asked for the adoption of the resolution, stating that prominent Union men of Maryland deemed it the only remedy for the wrongs inflicted on them. They believed that the election in Maryland was a violation of a higher example, had been guilty of apostasy to his party and to the principles on which he had been elected.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

Mr. WARD (N. Y.) said there was a suggestion in the preamble that the President of the United States should be impeached for his interference by the Governor of Maryland, and he thought that that subject at least should be referred to the committee for its action.

unless there was an understanding that that amendment should not be changed.

Mr. WILSON (Iowa) objected to binding the House in any such way, and consequently the resolution did not come before the House for action.

The Speaker presented Executive communications, as follows:— From the President of the United States, transmitting a report of the Secretary of War, and accompanying papers, in reference to the case of Colonel George St. John Grenfell, Laid on the table.

Also, communicating report from Secretary of War, relative to the Federal Courts and marshals of United States for the District of North Carolina. Referred to the Judiciary Committee.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

Also, transmitting a statement by the Chief of Ordnance, as to arms manufactured and repaired, and expenditures made at the Springfield armory during the year 1866. Laid on the table.

and all your measures in the future. That was what was meant by loyalty. A devotion to and a determination to perpetuate radical power.

The word loyalty should not be used in this country. It should be obsolete, except so far as it related to a faithful observance of the Constitution.

South the enemies of the Government, and only secured the friendship of negroes, felons and political adventurers, who go flooding the Southern States like so many buzzards over a carcass, and all this to be done in the name of liberty.

As Madame Roland said, as she was led to the guillotine in the French Revolution, "Oh liberty, how many horrors are perpetrated in your name!" It was impossible that the country should much longer tolerate in power a party usurpations as the Republican party was guilty of.

The House adjourned at 10 o'clock.

SOUTH CAROLINA. SAVANNAH, Ga., January 20.—A collision is likely to occur between the freedmen and to eject them from the plantations on the Chaves estate, situated in South Carolina, on the Savannah. They refused either to leave the plantation or contract for the present year.

On motion of Mr. FARRAR (Ind.) the Select Committee on the murder of United States soldiers in South Carolina, was directed to inquire into the public whipping of United States soldiers in North Carolina, particularly in Raleigh and Newbern, and to report thereon to the citizens in South Carolina when confined in jail.

On motion of Mr. KETCHAM (N. Y.) the Committee on Ways and Means was instructed to inquire into the expediency of providing by law for the redemption of one cent, two cents, and five cent stamps, by some commodity.

On motion of Mr. BAXES (Mass.) the Committee on the Library was authorized to contract with Albert Bierstadt for two paintings, to be hung in the chamber of the House.

On motion of Mr. STEVENS' Reconstruction bill, and was addressed by Mr. KERR (Ind.) in opposition, and Mr. COOK (Ill.) in support of the bill.

On motion of Mr. BAXES (Mass.) the Committee on the Library was authorized to contract with Albert Bierstadt for two paintings, to be hung in the chamber of the House.

On motion of Mr. STEVENS' Reconstruction bill, and was addressed by Mr. KERR (Ind.) in opposition, and Mr. COOK (Ill.) in support of the bill.

On motion of Mr. BAXES (Mass.) the Committee on the Library was authorized to contract with Albert Bierstadt for two paintings, to be hung in the chamber of the House.

On motion of Mr. STEVENS' Reconstruction bill, and was addressed by Mr. KERR (Ind.) in opposition, and Mr. COOK (Ill.) in support of the bill.

On motion of Mr. BAXES (Mass.) the Committee on the Library was authorized to contract with Albert Bierstadt for two paintings, to be hung in the chamber of the House.

On motion of Mr. STEVENS' Reconstruction bill, and was addressed by Mr. KERR (Ind.) in opposition, and Mr. COOK (Ill.) in support of the bill.

On motion of Mr. BAXES (Mass.) the Committee on the Library was authorized to contract with Albert Bierstadt for two paintings, to be hung in the chamber of the House.

On motion of Mr. STEVENS' Reconstruction bill, and was addressed by Mr. KERR (Ind.) in opposition, and Mr. COOK (Ill.) in support of the bill.

On motion of Mr. BAXES (Mass.) the Committee on the Library was authorized to contract with Albert Bierstadt for two paintings, to be hung in the chamber of the House.

On motion of Mr. STEVENS' Reconstruction bill, and was addressed by Mr. KERR (Ind.) in opposition, and Mr. COOK (Ill.) in support of the bill.

On motion of Mr. BAXES (Mass.) the Committee on the Library was authorized to contract with Albert Bierstadt for two paintings, to be hung in the chamber of the House.

On motion of Mr. STEVENS' Reconstruction bill, and was addressed by Mr. KERR (Ind.) in opposition, and Mr. COOK (Ill.) in support of the bill.

On motion of Mr. BAXES (Mass.) the Committee on the Library was authorized to contract with Albert Bierstadt for two paintings, to be hung in the chamber of the House.

On motion of Mr. STEVENS' Reconstruction bill, and was addressed by Mr. KERR (Ind.) in opposition, and Mr. COOK (Ill.) in support of the bill.

On motion of Mr. BAXES (Mass.) the Committee on the Library was authorized to contract with Albert Bierstadt for two paintings, to be hung in the chamber of the House.

On motion of Mr. STEVENS' Reconstruction bill, and was addressed by Mr. KERR (Ind.) in opposition, and Mr. COOK (Ill.) in support of the bill.

On motion of Mr. BAXES (Mass.) the Committee on the Library was authorized to contract with Albert Bierstadt for two paintings, to be hung in the chamber of the House.

On motion of Mr. STEVENS' Reconstruction bill, and was addressed by Mr. KERR (Ind.) in opposition, and Mr. COOK (Ill.) in support of the bill.

On motion of Mr. BAXES (Mass.) the Committee on the Library was authorized to contract with Albert Bierstadt for two paintings, to be hung in the chamber of the House.

On motion of Mr. STEVENS' Reconstruction bill, and was addressed by Mr. KERR (Ind.) in opposition, and Mr. COOK (Ill.) in support of the bill.

On motion of Mr. BAXES (Mass.) the Committee on the Library was authorized to contract with Albert Bierstadt for two paintings, to be hung in the chamber of the House.

On motion of Mr. STEVENS' Reconstruction bill, and was addressed by Mr. KERR (Ind.) in opposition, and Mr. COOK (Ill.) in support of the bill.

On motion of Mr. BAXES (Mass.) the Committee on the Library was authorized to contract with Albert Bierstadt for two paintings, to be hung in the